DRAFT

STATE FISCAL YEAR 2026
REGIONAL PLANNING AFFILIATION 1 (RPA-1)
TRANSPORTATION PLANNING WORK PROGRAM (TPWP)

Adopted by RPA-1 [DATE]
Due June 1, 2025
Last Updated May 30, 2024

Prepared by
Upper Explorerland Regional Planning Commission
Transportation Policy Board
(RPA-1)

For the
Iowa Department of Transportation

The preparation of this document was financed in part through funds provided by the U.S. Department of Transportation, Federal Highway Administration, Federal Transit Administration, and the Iowa Department of Transportation.

Upper Explorerland Regional Planning Commission office location:

325 Washington Street
Decorah, Iowa 52101

Table of Contents

Section 1: Area Background and Description of the TPWP Development Process 3

Planning Priorities and Challenges 4
TPWP Development 5

Section 2: Policy Board and Committee Membership 6

Transportation Policy Board 6
Transportation Technical Committee 7
Transportation Enhancement Committee 7
Public Transportation Advisory Group 8

Section 3: Description of Work Elements 9

Work Element 1: Administration 9
- Subtask 1.1: Develop Transportation Planning Work Program (TPWP) 9
- Subtask 1.2: Administration of overall RPA-1 activities 10
- Subtask 1.3: Equipment and Supplies 11

Work Element 2: Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) 12
Work Element 3: Transportation Planning 14
- Subtask 3.1: Public Participation Plan (PPP) 14
- Subtask 3.2: Passenger Transportation Plan (PTP) 15
- Subtask 3.3: Long-Range Transportation Plan (LRTP) 16
- Subtask 3.4: State and Regional Planning Initiatives 18

Work Element 4: Technical Assistance 20

Section 4: Budget Summary and Funding Sources 22

Section 5: Resolutions/Board Approvals 23

Section 6: Additional Required Items 24

TPWP Revisions 24
- Waiver of approvals 24
- Revision and Approval Procedures 24

Federal Planning Funds Carryover Policy 25
- Background 25
- Iowa DOT's Federal Planning Funds Carryover Policy for RPAs 26

Cost Allocation Plan 27
Certificate of Indirect Cost Proposal/Indirect Costs 31
Self-Certification of Procurement and Consultant Selection Procedures 32

Section 1: Area Background and Description of the TPWP Development Process

Upper Explorerland Regional Planning Commission (UERPC) serves as the Regional Planning Affiliation (RPA-1) for the counties of Allamakee, Clayton, Fayette, Howard, and Winneshiek in northeast Iowa (see Figure 1). In this capacity, RPA-1 is responsible for ensuring that transportation planning and activities are carried out in a manner consistent with federal transportation legislation or reauthorization, with guidance from the Iowa DOT.

The five counties in RPA-1 cover an area of 3,313 square miles. There are 52 incorporated communities within the region. As of the 2020 census, five cities have populations over 2,500 and seven other communities have populations over 1,000. The 2020 population for the combined five counties is 80,152 persons, a decrease of 4.54% since the 2010 census. With a regional population density of approximately 24 persons per square mile, residents across the region may spend a considerable amount of time traveling for employment, healthcare, and shopping. As a corollary, a safe, efficient multimodal transportation system is essential to the residents of this largely rural, low-population-density region.

Figure 1: Map of RPA-1 Planning Area



Planning Priorities and Challenges

Planning priorities during state fiscal year (SFY) 2026 will include the day-to-day administration and technical assistance provided by the RPA to our cities, counties, and transportation committees. Ensuring timely Policy Board and committee meetings, maintaining documentation of the meetings, and ensuring the implementation of decisions made at transportation meetings are priorities of the RPA. It is also a priority of RPA-1's planning staff to continue professional and technical education by attending trainings and conferences, and to ensure that our committees and communities are benefiting from the best and most up-to-date transportation knowledge and planning practices.

RPA-1's Long-range, Multimodal Transportation Plan, *Safe Mobility for Everyone*, was completed and adopted by the RPA-1 Policy Board in May 2025 (*anticipated*), covering years 2026-2030. Finalization and adoption of the updated Passenger Transportation Plan (PTP) took place in July 2023. That PTP covers the years 2024-2028.

One of the overwhelming priorities and challenges that emerged from the *Safe Mobility for All* planning process is the acute need for better, safer infrastructure for walking and bicycling in our region, chiefly the enormous needs in our communities to achieve ADA compliance with regards to their transportation networks. This issue continues to be a challenge and priority for our region.

Another key focus will be transportation safety on the county road networks. Four of our five counties are nearing completion of SS4A-funded safety action plans; a key focus for our region over the next several years will be implementing many of the low-cost safety countermeasures identified and recommended in the county safety action plans. A similar process for the cities of RPA-1, also funded by S4A, will kick off in 2025 or 2026.

RPA-1 planners will work with the Transportation Policy Board, all three advisory committees, and local communities to further the goals and strategies identified in *Safe Mobility for Everyone*.

The 2024 PTP identifies the following priorities for the Passenger Transportation Advisory Group (TAG) to pursue:

- Hire, train, and retain adequate personnel—specifically drivers and mechanics.
- Make transit easier for all to use.
- Improve communication and coordination between all providers.
- Improve medical- and healthcare-related transportation options.
- Seek new and additional financing for public transit, to help both with retaining staff (higher pay) and with making rides more affordable by lowering rider fees.

- Continue to research and collaborate with regional stakeholders toward electrification of the transit fleet.

RPA-1 completed the regional trails economic impact analysis in fiscal year 2022. It will be a priority for the region to continue collecting trail user count data for further analysis and potential future updates of the analysis. The Enhancement Committee continues to prioritize the build-out of a regional "backbone" trail from the Minnesota state line in Howard County, to the Mississippi River in Clayton County, as well as safe routes to school (SRTS) activities in the five-county region.

The City of Lansing received regional STBG funding to complete a vision plan for Main Street/Highway 9, which was completed in SFY 2025. It will continue to be a priority in SFY 2026 to identify and work with potential communities interested in completing local transportation plans and other transportation studies, data collection, and analysis, as well as working with IDOT on inclusion of proposed features of these plans when local projects are undergone.

The limited Federal funding available for transportation planning in RPA-1 has been a challenge. RPA-1 will continue to coordinate with Iowa DOT as appropriate in to review the RPA funding distribution methodology. RPA-1 will work with the Policy Board, Iowa DOT, and other stakeholders as necessary in finding funding solutions to support the continued transportation planning functions identified in this work program, which are of critical importance to the communities of our region.

TPWP Development

The Transportation Planning Work Program (TPWP) documents the transportation planning and technical assistance activities that RPA-1 intends to carry out in support of the maintenance and development of a regional multimodal transportation network that is safe and efficient. The TPWP identifies work elements that will occur in SFY 2026 pertaining to RPA administration, programming of funds, long- and short-range transportation planning, and transportation technical assistance. Budgets and funding sources for these activities are addressed in detail.

TPWP activities are developed and discussed with the assistance of the Policy Board and its committees. Prior to its final approval, the TPWP is reviewed by the Transportation Policy Board, transportation committees, and the regional transit provider, and is posted on the UERPC website for a public review and comment period of 15 days. After this public review and comment period, the RPA-1 Policy Board holds a public hearing and considers adoption of the final TPWP. As always, meetings are open to the public and notice is provided through print newspaper, online postings, and physical postings at UERPC's offices in Decorah.

Section 2: Policy Board and Committee Membership

The RPA-1 **Transportation Policy Board** is composed of representatives from each of its five counties, the regional transit agency, and the two cities in the region with populations over 5,000—Decorah and Oelwein. The Policy Board exercises programming authority for Surface Transportation Block Grant (STBG) funding allocated to the region—including STBG funding which is swapped for state funding on road and bridge projects within cities—as well as Transportation Alternatives Program (TAP) funding, in accordance with state and federal rules and regulations.

Supporting the Policy Board are advisory committees whose memberships represent specific areas of expertise and/or interest. The **Technical Committee**, which consists of the five county engineers and representatives from Decorah and Oelwein, advises the Policy Board on matters of roadway maintenance and operations and recommends projects to receive funding. The **Enhancement Committee** consists of the five county conservation board directors and other regional stakeholders with interests in regional trails, walking and bicycling, and various tourism and economic development activities. The Enhancement Committee also recommends projects for funding, with a specific focus on building out the region's system of paved, multi-use trails. The **Public Transportation Advisory Group** (TAG) is charged with building and operating a coordinated passenger transportation system to support those who do not have access to personal transportation options or who choose not to drive or own a car. This group consists of local transit representatives, private transportation providers, human services providers, and others concerned with transportation for non-drivers. Finally, the Policy Board is supported by **UERPC transportation planning staff** who provide multimodal technical expertise, analyze regional trends and issues, and provide administrative support for board and committee operations.

Transportation Policy Board

NAME	TITLE	REPRESENTING
Dennis Keatley	County Supervisor	Allamakee County
Ray Peterson	County Supervisor	Clayton County
Alex Popenhagen	County Supervisor	Fayette County
Dean Eastman	County Supervisor	Howard County
*Mark Vick	County Supervisor	Winneshiek County
Lorraine Borowski	Mayor	City of Decorah
Brett DeVore	Mayor	City of Oelwein
Non-voting and ex-officio members:		
Krista Billhorn	District 2 Planner	IDOT
Ken Wohlford	Transportation Director	NEICAC Public Transit
Rachelle Howe	Executive Director	UERPC
Aaron Detter	Planning Department Head	UERPC

*Chairperson

Transportation Technical Committee

NAME	TITLE	REPRESENTING
Brian Ridenour	County Engineer	Allamakee County
Casey Stickfort	County Engineer	Clayton County
*Joel Fantz	County Engineer	Fayette County
Nick Rissman	County Engineer	Howard County
Michael Kueny	County Engineer	Winneshiek County
John Hjelle	City Engineer	City of Decorah
Herb Doudney	Public Works Director	City of Oelwein
Non-voting and ex-officio members:		
Krista Billhorn	District 2 Planner	IDOT
Aaron Detter	Planning Department Head	UERPC

*Chairperson

Transportation Enhancement Committee

NAME	TITLE	AGENCY/ORGANIZATION
Ross Geerdes	Director	Allamakee Co. Conservation
Val Reinke	Director	Allamakee Co. Tourism and Ec. Dev.
Susan Snow	Superintendent	Effigy Mounds National Monument
*Jenna Pollock	Director	Clayton Co. Conservation
Norma Thiese	Resident	Clayton County
Darla Kelchen	Director	Clayton Co. Economic Development
Heather Gamm	Director	Fayette Co. Conservation
Sheryl Struthers	Resident	Fayette County
Joshua Johnson	Park Superintendent	City of Oelwein
Jeff Korsmo	Director	Howard Co. Conservation
Craig Fencl	Park Ranger	Howard Co. Conservation
Elaine Govern	Resident	City of Riceville
Barb Schroeder	Director	Winneshiek Co. Conservation
Brian Fuhrmann	Member	Decorah Parks and Recreation Board
Stephanie Fromm	Director	Winneshiek County Development Inc.
Non-voting and ex-officio members:		
Krista Billhorn	District 2 Planner	DOT
Paul Berland	Director	NEI RC&D
Jared Nielsen	Scenic Byways Specialist	NEI RC&D
Mallory Hanson	Director	FCEDT
Aaron Detter	Planning Department Head	UERPC
Ashley Christensen	Safe Routes to School Liaison	UERPC

*Chairperson

Public Transportation Advisory Group

NAME	TITLE	COUNTY/CITY/AGENCY
Spiff Slifka	Development Coordinator	Howard Co. Business and Tourism
[vacant]		Iowa Vocational Rehabilitation Services
Erin Powers Daley	Executive Director of Community and Student Development	Northeast Iowa Community College
Stacie Speirs	Regional Director	Northeast Iowa Area Agency on Aging
Peggy Shea	Domestic Violence Advocate and Transitional Housing Coordinator	Helping Services for Youth and Families
Ken Wohlford	Transportation Director	NEICAC Public Transit
[vacant]		Luther College
Lizzie Van Dyke	Coordinator of Student Life	Upper Iowa University
Maggie Gardner	Public Health Specialist	Fayette County Public Health
Aaron Detter	Planning Department Head	UERPC

Section 3: Description of Work Elements

Work Element 1: Administration

Subtask 1.1: Develop Transportation Planning Work Program (TPWP)

- TASK OBJECTIVE:

  Identify expected RPA-1 activities and determine the associated costs for those activities for the coming fiscal year (SFY 2027) and prepare the SFY 2027 TPWP document.

- PROJECT DESCRIPTION:

  UERPC transportation planning staff identify activities necessary to carry out RPA-1 duties and responsibilities for the coming SFY and develop a corresponding budget. In addition, board, committee, and public input is collected and incorporated. Staff also work with the Policy Board to obtain approval of TPWP through resolution.

- PREVIOUS WORK:

  Prepared Draft and Final versions of the SFY 2026 TPWP (this document), with revisions as necessary and appropriate.

- PRODUCT/SCHEDULE:

  The Draft SFY 2027 TPWP will be submitted to the Iowa DOT by April 1, 2026 and the Final TPWP submitted by June 1, 2026.

- BUDGET:

Staff Hours	Cost		Funding Source	
43.75	Personnel	$2,460.98	FHWA-SPR Carryover	$7.65
			FHWA-STBG Carryover	$430.64
			FTA-5311 Carryover	$7.65
	Direct Expenses	$98.44	FHWA-SPR New	$628.30
			FHWA-STBG New	$922.50
	Indirect Expenses	$721.89	FTA-5311 New	$628.30
			UERPC Local Match	$656.26
	Total:	$3,281.31	**Total:**	$3,281.31

Subtask 1.2: Administration of overall RPA-1 activities

- TASK OBJECTIVES:

  Provide ongoing management, administrative duties, and financial record keeping for RPA-1 operations and transportation-related activities.

- PROJECT DESCRIPTION:

  UERPC transportation planning and finance staff will provide office and administrative support to the Policy Board and its advisory committees. In addition, financial and activity records are maintained and monitored as needed and/or required. Staff members attend RPA and other DOT-sponsored meetings. UERPC will maintain membership in relevant professional organizations if/when appropriate, and staff will attend conferences and trainings that build the necessary knowledge and capacity to support multimodal transportation planning and technical assistance in the region.

- PREVIOUS WORK:

  Ongoing support of board and committees; prepare materials for, attend, and facilitate RPA meetings, track and manage funding, and complete DOT reporting.

- PRODUCT/SCHEDULE:

  All activities are ongoing. Products vary, but include: meeting agendas and minutes, press releases and notifications, DOT quarterly reporting—due July *(for last quarter of previous SFY)*, October, January, and April— and invoices and other financial documentation as required or requested.

- BUDGET:

Staff Hours	Cost		Funding Source	
813.76	Personnel	$45,774.23	FHWA-SPR Carryover	$142.22
			FHWA-STBG Carryover	$8,009.97
			FTA-5311 Carryover	$142.22
	Direct Expenses	$1,830.97	FHWA-SPR New	$11,686.46
			FHWA-STBG New	$17,158.50
	Indirect Expenses	$13,427.11	FTA-5311 New	$11,686.46
			UERPC Local Match	$12,206.46
	Total:	$61,032.31	**Total:**	$61,032.31

Subtask 1.3: Equipment and Supplies

- TASK OBJECTIVE:

  Ensure that computer hardware, software, and other equipment and supplies fulfill the needs of staff in carrying out the functions detailed in this TPWP.

- PROJECT DESCRIPTION:

  In addition to office supplies, UERPC maintains GIS software and licenses, with upgrades as needed. Adobe Illustrator, Photoshop, and InDesign are being considered as a purchase as well. These programs can assist with better presentation of graphic and written materials for transportation plans, studies, and materials. No further equipment purchases are anticipated, but the possibility exists should a need arise, or an upgrade be needed, or should any current device equipment fail.

- PREVIOUS WORK:

  Necessary supplies and equipment were purchased as needed.

- PRODUCT/SCHEDULE:

  UERPC will maintain up-to-date IT equipment and software, and sufficient supplies and equipment to carry out RPA-1 duties. These activities are ongoing as needed.

- BUDGET:

<table>
<tr><th>Staff Hours</th><th colspan="2">Cost</th><th colspan="2">Funding Source</th></tr>
<tr><td rowspan="9">N/A</td><td rowspan="3">Personnel</td><td rowspan="3">$0.00</td><td>FHWA-SPR Carryover</td><td>$3.06</td></tr>
<tr><td>FHWA-STBG Carryover</td><td>$172.26</td></tr>
<tr><td>FTA-5311 Carryover</td><td>$3.06</td></tr>
<tr><td rowspan="2">Direct Expenses</td><td rowspan="2">$1,312.52</td><td>FHWA-SPR New</td><td>$251.32</td></tr>
<tr><td>FHWA-STBG New</td><td>$369.00</td></tr>
<tr><td rowspan="2">Indirect Expenses</td><td rowspan="2">$0.00</td><td>FTA-5311 New</td><td>$251.32</td></tr>
<tr><td>UERPC Local Match</td><td>$262.50</td></tr>
<tr><td>Total:</td><td>$1,312.52</td><td>Total:</td><td>$1,312.52</td></tr>
</table>

Work Element 2: Transportation Improvement Program (TIP)

- TASK OBJECTIVE:

  Develop a list of awarded state- and federal-aid projects in the RPA-1 planning area for the upcoming four federal fiscal years (FFY) that is formally adopted by the RPA-1 Policy Board and approved by the Iowa DOT. Funding programmed in the TIP is fiscally constrained and the TIP development process is conducted through a transparent and consistent public process. Conduct revisions and amendments to the current-year TIP as needed and required.

- PROJECT DESCRIPTION:

  UERPC's transportation planner works with the Policy Board and its committees to produce a four-year, fiscally constrained plan that identifies the use of state- and federal-aid funds for multimodal transportation projects in RPA-1: streets and roads, walking and bicycling infrastructure, bridges, transit, and transportation planning. Specific tasks include:

  - Management of the application process for the region's Surface Transportation Block Grant (STBG) Program and Transportation Alternatives Set-aside (TA Set-aside) funding.
  - Coordinate the project selection process, ensuring adequate opportunity for public participation.
  - Maintain funding-tracking spreadsheets.
  - Prepare the FFY 2027-2030 TIP for approval and submission to the Iowa DOT.
  - Facilitate the procedure for approving revisions and amendments to the TIP.
  - Attend TPMS trainings and update TPMS as needed or required.

- PREVIOUS WORK:

  UERPC staff managed the development process of the FFY 2026-2029 TIP and the ongoing oversight and administration of the FFY 2025-2028 TIP.

- PRODUCT/SCHEDULE:

  Submit Final FFY 2026-2029 TIP by July 15, 2025 *(primarily developed as part of the SFY 2025 TPWP)*. Draft FFY 2027-2030 TIP submitted to Iowa DOT by June 15, 2026; Final TIP submitted by July 15, 2026 *(activity in July 2026 will be included as part of the future SFY 2027 TPWP)*. Other work products will include project application cycle (STBG and TA Set-aside); meeting minutes, agendas, and public notices for TIP amendments; coordination and documentation of TIP administrative modifications; project reviews; documentation of public engagement; and updates to TPMS *(administrative modifications and amendments completed as necessary)* and funding-tracking spreadsheets.

- BUDGET:

Staff Hours	Cost		Funding Source	
205.80	Personnel	$10,804.30	FHWA-SPR Carryover	$33.57
			FHWA-STBG Carryover	$1,890.63
			FTA-5311 Carryover	$33.57
	Direct Expenses	$432.17	FHWA-SPR New	$2,758.41
			FHWA-STBG New	$4,050.00
	Indirect Expenses	$3,169.26	FTA-5311 New	$2,758.41
			UERPC Local Match	$2,881.15
	Total:	$14,405.74	**Total:**	$14,405.74

Work Element 3: Transportation Planning

Subtask 3.1: Public Participation Plan (PPP)

- TASK OBJECTIVE:

  The goals of the public participation plan are to (1) inform and educate the public about transportation planning and activities within the region and (2) engage the public in a meaningful way throughout the decision-making process.

- PROJECT DESCRIPTION:

  UERPC's efforts to educate and engage the public in the decision-making process will continue through public hearings and meetings, speaking opportunities, online tools, and surveys.

- PREVIOUS WORK:

  Ongoing review of the PPP to carry out public notifications and participation as required by the plan. Held public hearings and public input meetings during TIP development and modifications, and adoption of other planning products as appropriate. Created and conducted surveys to collect input on various transportation planning efforts. Expanded educational efforts through speaking engagements as opportunities arose.

- PRODUCT/SCHEDULE:

  Adherence to the Public Participation Plan. Documentation and fulfillment of the goals and activities outlined therein will ensure an open and compliant process in RPA-1's transportation decision-making. These activities are ongoing.

- BUDGET:

Staff Hours	Cost		Funding Source	
28.58	Personnel	$1,500.60	FHWA-SPR Carryover	$4.66
			FHWA-STBG Carryover	$262.59
			FTA-5311 Carryover	$4.66
	Direct Expenses	$60.02	FHWA-SPR New	$383.11
			FHWA-STBG New	$562.50
	Indirect Expenses	$440.18	FTA-5311 New	$383.11
			UERPC Local Match	$400.16
	Total:	$2,000.80	**Total:**	$2,000.80

Subtask 3.2: Passenger Transportation Plan (PTP)

- TASK OBJECTIVE:

  To develop plans and strategies to address the needs for passenger transportation and public transit, and to improve the delivery of these services in the region.

- PROJECT DESCRIPTION:

  UERPC transportation planning staff typically coordinate 2-4 TAG meetings per year. Staff also assist our regional transit provider in working with large employers to address employee transportation needs, including surveying employees about transportation needs and priorities, discussing potential establishment of van pools and work routes, educating on multimodal transportation options, and identifying other employee transportation solutions. In SFY 2026, RPA-1 will continue to assist public transit on work related to the priorities and strategies identified in the 2024 PTP.

- PREVIOUS WORK:

  Convened and administered meetings of the Passenger Transportation Advisory Group (TAG); worked closely with the Director of Regional Transit on the planning and development of improved passenger transportation.

- PRODUCT/SCHEDULE:

  Agendas and minutes of TAG meetings submitted to DOT by July 1, 2026. Participation in Technical Assistance programs and opportunities.

- BUDGET:

Staff Hours	Cost		Funding Source	
85.75	Personnel	$4,501.79	FHWA-SPR Carryover	$13.99
			FHWA-STBG Carryover	$787.76
			FTA-5311 Carryover	$13.99
	Direct Expenses	$180.07	FHWA-SPR New	$1,149.34
			FHWA-STBG New	$1,687.50
	Indirect Expenses	$1,320.53	FTA-5311 New	$1,149.34
			UERPC Local Match	$1,200.48
	Total:	$6,002.39	**Total:**	$6,002.39

- *Subtask 3.3: Long-Range Transportation Plan (LRTP)*
  - TASK OBJECTIVE:

    Develop—and update as needed—a long-range, multimodal transportation plan (LRTP) for the RPA-1 region. Support the Policy Board, its committees, and local communities and stakeholder groups in achieving the goals identified in the LRTP. Ensure that the document is used as a guiding instrument by the Board and its committees as they consider projects, policies, and activities to improve the regional transportation system for all system users and all transportation modes. Update and modify the LRTP as needed and appropriate, in accordance with adopted procedures found in the public participation plan (PPP).

  - PROJECT DESCRIPTION/TIMELINE:

    UERPC transportation planning staff, along with the Policy Board, its committees, and local communities, will use the LRTP to guide project and policy decisions. UERPC staff will ensure that the plan is available to the public and utilized by regional stakeholders. Presentations to various stakeholder groups about the contents of the plan may be offered and letters of support for regional projects that support the goals of the plan will be provided as requested.

    Adoption of the LRTP in May 2025 *(anticipated)* began a five-year period during which the plan serves as RPA-1's guiding policy document. During that time, the plan is able to be updated and amended as appropriate, in accordance with procedures, to reflect changing or evolving circumstances.

  - PREVIOUS WORK:

    Utilizing and promoting the 2019 LRTP, *Safe Mobility for Everyone*, as a resource and reference for communities and stakeholders. Development and completion of a full update to the plan during SFY 2025, with adoption of a new five-year plan anticipated for May of 2025. Assisting the Policy Board and its committees in using the LRTP to review applications for Surface Transportation Block Grant (STBG) and Transportation Alternatives Set-aside Program (TA Set-aside) funding.

  - PRODUCT/SCHEDULE:

    A living document that guides RPA-1's transportation planning and policy decisions. Guidance to the Policy board, its committees, regional stakeholders, and the public. Work on and complete RPA-1 LRTP updates as needed, with associated tasks of data collection and mapping, engagement with the RPA-1 Policy Board, committees, regional stakeholders, and the public, and plan submission, revision, and adoption according to the stated timeline.

- BUDGET:

Staff Hours	Cost		Funding Source	
314.41	Personnel	$16,506.57	FHWA-SPR Carryover	$51.29
			FHWA-STBG Carryover	$2,888.46
			FTA-5311 Carryover	$51.29
	Direct Expenses	$660.26	FHWA-SPR New	$4,214.24
			FHWA-STBG New	$6,187.50
	Indirect Expenses	$4,841.93	FTA-5311 New	$4,214.24
			UERPC Local Match	$4,401.75
	Total:	$22,008.77	**Total:**	$22,008.77

Subtask 3.4: State and Regional Planning Initiatives

- TASK OBJECTIVE:

  To engage in state and regional transportation-related initiatives which complement and support the region's long- and short-range transportation goals and bring new partnerships, knowledge and resources to the state, region, and RPA-1 communities.

- PROJECT DESCRIPTION:

  UERPC transportation planning staff will continue to engage in the initiatives listed above and is open to participating in new initiatives as they relate to transportation in the region. Projects may include:

  - RPA-1 has seen interest in local transportation plans from communities in the region. Planners would like to assist communities as needed in the creation of local transportation plans to address current conditions and future planning for road/bridge, sidewalk, bicycle, trail, and public transportation projects in the individual communities. Other planning topics may include safety and regional connections, or other tasks as developed in conversation with individual communities.
  - RPA-1 was awarded a federal Safe Streets and Roads for All (SS4A) grant in partnership with RPAs 6 and 10. UERPC transportation planning staff will work and coordinate with these partners and a selected consultant to conduct safety action plans for the communities of the three RPA regions. RPA-10 is the grantee/administrator. This work may start and be ongoing in SFY 2026.
  - RPA-1 will continue ongoing assistance with regional trail counting initiative and data collection.
  - RPA-1 will assist communities with transportation-related data collection and analysis, including geodata and geospatial analysis.
  - RPA-1 will assist schools and communities with Safe Routes to School planning and coordination.

- PREVIOUS WORK:

  Previous work includes comprehensive planning in the region, Safe Routes planning and coordination for schools and communities, Mississippi River Partnership, Turkey River Recreational Corridor, various trail groups and economic development initiatives, coordination and communication with communities on potential local transportation plans, coordination with the bi-regional "Highway 150 Coalition" in collaboration with INRCOG/RPA-7 and the Highway 150 communities of both regions, and coordination to share the results of the regional trail economic impact analysis for the region's "Backbone" trail system. The Backbone Trail is intended to connect four major trails in the region to create border-to-border (MN to WI) trail connectivity, serving as the "backbone" for a greater regional trail network. RPA-1 planning staff also

participated in county Safe Streets and Roads for All (SS4A) safety action plan activities for Allamakee, Clayton, Howard, and Winneshiek Counties.

- PRODUCT/SCHEDULE:
  Various ongoing initiatives. With the completion of the regional trail economic impact analysis, RPA-1's transportation planner will continue to communicate its findings to communities and present at gatherings and conferences as needed or requested. Trail count and survey data will continue to be collected, compiled, analyzed, and maintained for long term use. Work with the Highway 150 Coalition is ongoing and will include assistance with research and data, and presentations to community groups and the State Transportation Commission as appropriate and requested. Coordination and work for the three-region Safe Streets and Roads for All (SS4A) grant for safety action planning will likely start in SFY 2026 and be ongoing through completion.

- BUDGET:

Staff Hours	Cost		Funding Source	
142.91	Personnel	$7,502.99	FHWA-SPR Carryover	$23.31
			FHWA-STBG Carryover	$1,312.94
			FTA-5311 Carryover	$23.31
	Direct Expenses	$300.12	FHWA-SPR New	$1,915.56
			FHWA-STBG New	$2,812.50
	Indirect Expenses	$2,200.88	FTA-5311 New	$1,915.56
			UERPC Local Match	$2,000.80
	Total:	$10,003.98	**Total:**	$10,003.98

Work Element 4: Technical Assistance

- TASK OBJECTIVE:

  To serve as the regional point of contact and resource for transportation planning expertise and transportation-related issues, activities, and questions.

- PROJECT DESCRIPTION:

  UERPC transportation planning staff will continue to fulfill the role as the region's source for transportation expertise and technical assistance by pursuing opportunities to engage communities and groups regarding transportation planning and RPA-1's functions, and by providing ongoing transportation technical assistance as requested from communities, agencies, committees, and citizens. These activities may include but are not limited to:

  - Maintain regional transportation data.
  - Distribute transportation-related funding notices, programs, educational opportunities, etc. to regional stakeholders.
  - Correspondence with Iowa DOT staff to discuss transportation planning practices and requirements.
  - Transportation research and GIS analysis.
  - Meet with RPA-1 planning staff to discuss regional planning activities, timeframes, and delegation of responsibilities.
  - Transportation research in preparation for Enhancement Committee, Technical Committee, and Policy Board Meetings.
  - Meet or correspond with communities in the region to discuss potential TA Set-aside and STBG projects, including addressing project development options, community needs, and the application process and timeline. Other general project development assistance. Other general research and preparation for the STBG and TA Set-aside application processes.
  - Work with regional transit and the Passenger Transportation Advisory Group (TAG) on ongoing passenger transportation planning and initiatives.
  - Research transportation best practices and attend trainings and conferences in order to apply or share information as opportunities arise.
  - Coordination and work for ongoing Safe Streets and Roads for All (SS4A) grant-funded safety action planning efforts.
  - Transportation-related grant writing/administration support to communities.

- PREVIOUS WORK:

  Assisted communities, governmental and public service agencies, regional advocacy committees, and citizens with transportation research, regional data collection, general transportation questions and grant support. Some examples of work over the last year include:

  - Assisted communities in researching and applying for grants to fund trails and other walking and bicycling infrastructure.

- Attended Iowa Association of Councils of Governments (ICOG) events.
- Attended six-county supervisors/engineers' meetings.
- Assistance with bicycle and pedestrian safety education for regional youth.
- Hosted legislative events for the region.
- Meeting with regional transit director to discuss regional transit issues.
- Responded to city or county requests for assistance regarding specific road and trail projects.
- Corresponded with Iowa DOT staff to discuss transportation planning practices and requirements. Reviewed transportation planning information on Iowa DOT website, and as received from the Iowa DOT.
- Researched and relayed information on the TA Set-aside and STBG application processes. Met or corresponded with communities in the region regarding TA Set-aside or STBG projects.
- Worked with the regional Passenger Transportation Advisory Group (TAG) on several ongoing initiatives and discussions.
- Met with cities regarding potential local transportation plans, data needs, and GIS analysis.

- PRODUCT/SCHEDULE:

  UERPC will assist NEICAC Public Transit and other transportation planning partners as needed, and will continue to assist the RPA-1 region with transportation research and data analysis, along with general transportation inquiries from communities and transportation-related grant writing/administration support. These are all continuing processes with ongoing activities.

- BUDGET:

<table>
<tr><th>Staff Hours</th><th colspan="2">Cost</th><th colspan="2">Funding Source</th></tr>
<tr><td rowspan="8">666.93</td><td rowspan="3">Personnel</td><td rowspan="3">$30,011.95</td><td>FHWA-SPR Carryover</td><td>$93.25</td></tr>
<tr><td>FHWA-STBG Carryover</td><td>$5,251.75</td></tr>
<tr><td>FTA-5311 Carryover</td><td>$93.25</td></tr>
<tr><td rowspan="2">Direct Expenses</td><td rowspan="2">$1,200.48</td><td>FHWA-SPR New</td><td>$7,662.25</td></tr>
<tr><td>FHWA-STBG New</td><td>$11,250.00</td></tr>
<tr><td rowspan="2">Indirect Expenses</td><td rowspan="2">$8,803.51</td><td>FTA-5311 New</td><td>$7,662.25</td></tr>
<tr><td>UERPC Local Match</td><td>$8,003.19</td></tr>
<tr><td>Total:</td><td>$40,015.94</td><td>Total:</td><td>$40,015.94</td></tr>
</table>

Section 4: Budget Summary and Funding Sources

		Federal Funds								
Work Element	**Subtask**	**FHWA SPR Carryover**	**FHWA STBG Carryover**	**FTA 5311 Carryover**	**FHWA SPR New**	**FHWA STBG New**	**FTA 5311 New**	**Total Federal**	**UERPC Local Match**	**TOTALS**
Administration	TPWP	$7.65	$430.64	$7.65	$628.30	$922.50	$628.30	$2,625.05	$656.26	$3,281.31
	Admin. Overall	$142.22	$8,009.97	$142.22	$11,686.46	$17,158.50	$11,686.46	$48,825.85	$12,206.46	$61,032.31
	Equip./ Supplies	$3.06	$172.26	$3.06	$251.32	$369.00	$251.32	$1,050.02	$262.50	$1,312.52
	Subtotal	**$152.93**	**$8,612.87**	**$152.93**	**$12,566.09**	**$18,450.00**	**$12,566.09**	**$52,500.91**	**$13,125.23**	**$65,626.14**
Transp. Improv. Prog. (TIP)		**$33.57**	**$1,890.63**	**$33.57**	**$2,758.41**	**$4,050.00**	**$2,758.41**	**$11,524.59**	**$2,881.15**	**$14,405.74**
Transportation Planning	PPP	$4.66	$262.59	$4.66	$383.11	$562.50	$383.11	$1,600.64	$400.16	$2,000.80
	PTP	$13.99	$787.76	$13.99	$1,149.34	$1,687.50	$1,149.34	$4,801.91	$1,200.48	$6,002.39
	LRTP	$51.29	$2,888.46	$51.29	$4,214.24	$6,187.50	$4,214.24	$17,607.01	$4,401.75	$22,008.77
	Regional Planning	$23.31	$1,312.94	$23.31	$1,915.56	$2,812.50	$1,915.56	$8,003.19	$2,000.80	$10,003.98
	Subtotal	**$93.25**	**$5,251.75**	**$93.25**	**$7,662.25**	**$11,250.00**	**$7,662.25**	**$32,012.75**	**$8,003.19**	**$40,015.94**
Technical Assistance		**$93.25**	**$5,251.75**	**$93.25**	**$7,662.25**	**$11,250.00**	**$7,662.25**	**$32,012.75**	**$8,003.19**	**$40,015.94**
TOTALS		**$373.00**	**$21,007.00**	**$373.00**	**$30,649.00**	**$45,000.00**	**$30,649.00**	**$128,051.00**	**$32,012.75**	**$160,063.75**

FHWA Statewide Planning & Research (SPR) program funding is transferred to FTA 5305e funding in a consolidated planning grant application.
FHWA Surface Transportation Block Grant (STBG) program funding is transferred to FTA 5311 program funding in a separate FTA transfer grant application.

Section 5: Resolutions/Board Approvals

Adoption Resolution Placeholder

Section 6: Additional Required Items

TPWP Revisions

- *Waiver of approvals*

  All work program changes require prior written Federal approval, unless waived by the awarding agency. The following table denotes the approving agency for various changes to work programs:

Revision Type:	Approving Agency:
Request for additional Federal funding	FTA/FHWA
Transferring substantive programmatic work to a third party (consultant)	FTA/FHWA
Capital expenditures, including the purchasing of equipment	FTA/FHWA
Transfer of funds allotted for training allowances	FTA/FHWA
Transfers of funds between categories, projects, functions, or activities which exceed 10% of the total work program budget, or when the Federal share of the budget exceeds $150,000	FTA/FHWA
Revision of the scope or objectives of activities	FTA/FHWA
Transfers of funds between categories, projects, functions, or activities which do not exceed 10% of the total work program budget, or when the Federal share of the budget is less than $150,000	IDOT
Revisions related to work that does not involve federal funding	RPA-1

- *Revision and Approval Procedures*

  All revision requests will be submitted electronically to the Iowa DOT's Systems Planning Bureau. Four hard copies of the revision shall also be sent to Systems Planning, which will be forwarded to the DOT District, FHWA, and FTA for review and any necessary approvals.

  - Revision requests shall, at a minimum, include:
    - A letter detailing the work program revision(s).
    - A resolution or meeting minutes showing the revision's approval.
    - Budget summary table with changes highlighted/noted.
    - Modified section(s) of the plan's work elements with changes highlighted/noted.
  - Revisions where **FHWA/FTA** is the designated approving agency shall require written approval by FHWA/FTA prior to commencement of activity, purchasing of equipment, or request for reimbursement.
  - Revisions where the **Iowa DOT Systems Planning Bureau** is the designated approving agency shall require written approval by the Iowa DOT Systems Planning Bureau prior to commencement of activity, purchasing of equipment, or request for reimbursement.

- Revisions where **RPA-1** is the approving agency shall be approved by the Policy Board.
- Notification by the approving agency will be in writing.

NOTE: All necessary TPWP approvals shall be in place prior to the commencement of activity, purchasing of equipment, or request for reimbursement. More specifically in regard to the procurement of equipment and services, there will be no notification of award, signed contract, placement of an order, or agreement with a contractor prior to receiving the necessary TPWP approvals.

Federal Planning Funds Carryover Policy

Background

Each year, federal planning funds from both the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) and Federal Transit Administration (FTA) are combined into a new consolidated planning grant (CPG) under the FTA. This includes all federal transportation funds that are utilized by metropolitan planning organizations (MPOs) and regional planning affiliations (RPAs) in Iowa for planning, including FHWA metropolitan planning (PL), state planning and research (SPR), and surface transportation block grant (STBG) funds and FTA 5305d, 5305e, and 5311 funds. The FHWA funding sources are transferred to FTA for inclusion in the CPG, and once funds are part of an awarded CPG they are unable to be deobligated for other purposes.

Carryover is defined as any unspent funding that has been targeted to the agency, but is not included in the agency's current state fiscal year (SFY) budget. During the Transportation Planning Work Program (TPWP) development cycle, carryover 'targets' that show the current balances of carryover funding are provided to the agency along with targets for new federal funding. However, it should be noted that an agency does not have to wait for the next TPWP cycle to utilize carryover funding if there are anticipated needs in the current fiscal year. Unbudgeted funding is available to the planning agency to be amended into its budget at any time. Unspent funding from the prior SFY is available to the planning agency to be amended into its budget following close-out of the prior SFY.

When developing the TPWP, agencies are required to program carryover funding before programming new funding. When reimbursement requests are submitted to the Iowa Department of Transportation (DOT), payments are made by utilizing the oldest funding source in the agency's planning agreement. Funding is drawn down first by age, then sequentially by source. This helps streamline bookkeeping and ensure that funding within older CPGs is utilized prior to funding within newer CPGs.

Since MPOs and RPAs are allowed to carry over unused federal planning funds rather than being required to draw them down within a fiscal year, multiple CPGs are open at any given time. FTA has asked Iowa DOT to ensure funds are being drawn down in a timely manner and to work to limit the number of CPGs that are open. Furthermore, the new grant

management system FTA launched in 2016 requires additional documentation and justification to keep a grant open past its original end date.

In order to satisfy FTA while still providing flexibility to MPOs and RPAs, Iowa DOT has implemented internal steps to reduce the number of CPGs that are open, and has also developed the policy outlined below. Internal steps that Iowa DOT has taken include discussing the necessity of STBG transfers with individual agencies when substantial carryover balances exist, and evaluating planning agreements and amending them if necessary early in the SFY to ensure any older funding that was unspent in the previous SFY is utilized prior to newer funding. The MPO/RPA carryover policy, which is outlined below, took effect as part of the SFY 2018 TPWP cycle.

The internal changes and the MPO/RPA carryover policy will help Iowa DOT manage carryover balances that have become problematic for a small number of planning agencies. Over time, reduced carryover balances will allow Iowa DOT to maintain fewer open CPGs. In addition, the policy will prevent unnecessary funding transfers from FHWA to FTA, thus enabling SPR and STBG funding to be used more efficiently.

Iowa DOT's Federal Planning Funds Carryover Policy for RPAs

At the beginning of the calendar year, each planning agency's average annual federal transportation planning expenditures, based on the past five state fiscal years, will be calculated. If an RPA has available carryover balances[1] totaling more than this average, the following will apply.

The RPA will receive its FTA allocation of 5305e and/or 5311 funding. The agency will not receive an SPR allocation or be allowed to transfer STBG funds for planning unless it can substantiate anticipated budget needs tied to significant expenditures (e.g., LRTP update, equipment purchases, consultant services, etc.).

Every year prior to or during the distribution of annual targets, each agency will be provided with its average annual federal expenditures and carryover balances and informed whether or not its SPR and/or STBG funds will be constrained due to available carryover balances. The agency will be provided an opportunity to respond and substantiate any anticipated significant expenditures during the upcoming contract year that would necessitate the SPR and/or STBG funding transfer. Iowa DOT will consider these needs and provide a response to the agency prior to distributing final targets. Any STBG constrained through this process will remain part of the planning agency's STBG balance and will be available for programming toward other projects. Any SPR constrained through this process will remain with Iowa DOT and be utilized as part of its SPR program.

[1] Carryover balances include any unspent funding that has been targeted to the agency but is not included in the agency's current SFY budget.

Cost Allocation Plan

UPPER EXPLORERLAND REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION
325 Washington Street, Decorah, IA 52101

COST ALLOCATION PLAN

A. INTRODUCTION

Upper Explorerland Regional Planning Commission (UERPC) is a "council of governments" serving the counties of Allamakee, Clayton, Fayette, Howard, and Winneshiek Iowa. UERPC's mission is to promote regional cooperation and meet the planning and economic development needs of local governments as noted in Chapter 28H of the Code of Iowa. UERPC's main office is located in Decorah, Iowa.

UERPC administers a variety of programs with funding received from the Department of Commerce, Department of Transportation, Department of Labor, and Department of Housing and Urban Development.

B. COST ALLOCATION METHODOLOGY

This proposal is for a Cost Allocation Plan (Plan) to cover the period beginning July 1, 2025 and ending June 30, 2026. This proposal is based on UERPC's actual costs reconcilable to the audited financial statements for its fiscal year ending June 30, 2024. The status of our cost allocation plan is:

☐ (a) This is our initial request for the approval of our cost allocation plan. None of the federal agencies have ever officially approved of our cost allocation plan.

■ (b) We have received an official written approval of our cost allocation plan from The Department of the Interior on behalf of the Department of Commerce funding agency. The most recent acceptance letter was received on December 11, 2024 for the reporting period ending June 30, 2024.

☐ (c) We have received written approval of our cost allocation plan from Iowa Department of Transportation multiple times. However, we have been unable to obtain formal Federal Cognizant approval of our Indirect Cost Proposal.

The Plan has been established as a means of providing an adequate and equitable allocation of costs to the programs administered by UERPC. The allocation of costs is performed on a monthly basis. Formal accounting records are maintained to support the cost allocation plan set forth below. For effective cost allocating, programs with

administrative dollars equal to or less than $3,000 will not be included as part of the allocation plan outlined below. Rather, these fees will be deemed "technical assistance" and will follow the guidelines established for technical assistance fees of the Planning Commission. UERPC maintains adequate internal controls to ensure that no cost is charged both directly and indirectly to Federal contracts or grants.

C. DIRECT COSTS

Direct costs are defined as costs incurred that can be specifically identified with a particular grant/program area. These costs may be identified using employee time sheet data, square footage methodology, usage records, direct program approved costs, and other methods as allowable for direct cost distribution.

Salaries & Wages

Semi-monthly, employees of the Planning Commission will be required to submit to the Finance Manager a time record documenting the number of hours worked in the individual grant/program areas. Gross salaries will be distributed and posted to each grant/program area according to timesheet percentages. Salaries and wages will be charged directly to the program for which work has been done. Costs that benefit all programs will be allocated to those programs based on the ratio of each program's salaries to the total of all direct salaries. See also "Indirect Labor" defined below.

Fringe Benefits - Direct

The Planning Commission has a formal written policy regarding the fringe benefits provided to its employees. The cost of employer contributions to social security, Medicare and the Planning Commission's retirement plan (IPERS and 457(b) option) are distributed based on each employee's individual payroll percentage calculated in any given month for the various grant/program areas.

Employer contributions to health, dental, vision, short term disability, long term disability and life insurance premiums are also distributed in accordance with each employee's individual payroll percentages. Fringe Benefits described within this section that benefit all programs will be allocated to those programs based on the ratio of each program's salaries to the total direct salaries. See also "Fringe Benefits – Indirect" defined below.

Leave Benefits -Direct

Leave costs, including annual leave, sick leave, holidays, and compensatory time will be distributed monthly to the grant/program areas according to each individual employee's time record percentages. Formal leave records are maintained for each employee of the Planning Commission.

Other Costs - Direct

Other direct costs will include but are not limited to advertising, car replacement, conference fees/training, consulting fees, contracted services, depreciation, dues & subscriptions, equipment purchases*, maintenance agreements, office rent, office supplies, postage, printing & reproduction, professional services, and travel & per diem.

* Equipment and other capital expenditures will be allowable as a direct cost provided that specific approval of such expenditures has been granted by the grant/program area and equipment is purchased in accordance with UERPC's procurement policy.
The accounting system records these costs as they are incurred within the series of accounts assigned for that purpose. Further distribution is not required.

D. INDIRECT COSTS

Indirect costs are costs incurred for common or joint objectives and therefore cannot be readily and specifically identified with a particular project or activity. Indirect costs are:

☐ (a) direct charged to all programs and grants, or

■ (b) grouped into common pool(s) and then distributed to benefiting activities by a cost allocation process.

☐ (c) none of the above. Indirect costs are _____.

E. COST POOL AND BASE FOR DISTRIBUTION

UERPC has created an "Allocated Cost Pool" consisting of indirect labor, indirect fringe benefits, indirect leave benefits, and other indirect costs (also referred to as "Allocated Costs").

Indirect (allocated) costs are defined as those costs incurred that benefit more than one grant/program area and cannot be directly assigned to one specific grant/program area. To allow for equitable distribution, the indirect (allocated) costs are distributed to the various program activities on the basis of total direct salaries and wages, including fringe benefits expended on those activities. See also footnote[1] below.

The Allocated Cost Pool consists of but is not limited to:

Indirect Labor

Indirect labor is defined as those salaries chargeable all grant/program areas. For purposes of this plan, indirect labor will include but is not limited to the hours worked by Planning Commission support staff. The director will report indirect labor hours as deemed necessary.

Fringe Benefits - Indirect

Indirect fringe benefits are defined in the same manner as noted above but apply only to indirect labor costs.

Leave Benefits - Indirect

Indirect leave costs are defined in the same manner as noted above but apply only to indirect labor costs. Formal leave records are maintained for each employee of the Planning Commission.

Other - Indirect

Indirect (allocated) costs may also include but are not limited to capital improvements, dues & subscriptions, equipment leases, janitorial, maintenance & repair, miscellaneous, office insurance, office supplies, printing & reproduction, postage, professional services, service contracts, telephone, travel, and utilities.

[1]To maintain reasonable and fair distribution of indirect (allocated) costs such as janitorial and utilities, the floor plan and square footage ratios of the office building(s) will be reviewed no less than bi-annually and changes to the indirect cost method in place will be made as deemed necessary to accommodate equitable cost sharing.

F. DESCRIPTION OF ACCOUNTING SYSTEM

UERPC uses a modified accrual system of accounting. Under the modified accrual basis of accounting, revenues are recognized when susceptible to accrual (i.e. when they become both measureable and available). "Measurable" means the amount of the transaction can be determined and "available" means collectible within the current period or soon enough thereafter to be used to pay liabilities of the current period. Expenditures are recorded when the related liability is incurred.

The Plan of UERPC will be reviewed on a regular basis but no less than annually to determine that a fair and reasonable allocation of expenses is maintained and that such expenses are allowable in accordance with the provisions of each grant/program contract. The Plan will be presented annually to the Board of Commissioners and submitted to UERPC's cognizant agency as required.

G. ORGANIZATIONAL CHART

Attached

H. COST ALLOCATION PLAN CERTIFICATION

Attached

Certificate of Indirect Cost Proposal/Indirect Costs

upper explorerland regional planning commission

Serving Allamakee, Clayton, Fayette, Howard, and Winneshiek Counties
325 Washington Street, Decorah, IA 52101
PHONE: 563-382-6171, FAX: 563-217-7022
For deaf/hard of hearing, use Relay Iowa 711
www.uerpc.org

Certificate of Indirect Cost Proposal/Indirect Costs

This is to certify that I have reviewed the indirect cost proposal submitted herewith and to the best of my knowledge and belief:

(1) All costs included in this proposal dated **December 11, 2024**, to establish a:

- ☑ Cost Allocation Plan
- ☐ Indirect Cost Rate

for the fiscal year **July 1, 2025 to June 30, 2026** are allowable in accordance with the requirements of the Federal awards to which they apply and with Subpart E—Cost Principles of Part 200 as they apply to my:

- ☑ Governmental Organization
- ☐ Non-Profit Organization

(2) This proposal does not include any costs which are unallowable under Subpart E—Cost Principles of Part 200 such as (without limitation): public relations costs, contributions and donations, entertainment costs, fines and penalties, lobbying costs, and defense of fraud proceedings; and

(3) All costs included in this proposal are properly allocable to Federal awards on the basis of a beneficial or causal relationship between the expenses incurred and the Federal awards to which they are allocated in accordance with applicable requirements. Further, the same costs that have been treated as indirect costs have not been claimed as direct costs. Similar types of costs have been accounted for consistently.

Subject to the provisions of the Program Fraud Civil Remedies Act of 1986, (31 USC 3801 et seq.), and the Department of Labor's implementing regulations, (29 CFR Part 22), the False Claims Act (18 USC 287 and 31 USC 3729); and the False Statement Act (18 USC 1001), I declare to the best of my knowledge that the foregoing is true and correct.

Rachelle Howe
(Signature)

Rachelle Howe
(Please Print Name)

Executive Director
(Title)

Upper Explorerland Regional Planning Commission
(Name of Organization)

March 1, 2025
(Date Signed)

Self-Certification of Procurement and Consultant Selection Procedures

upper explorerland regional planning commission

Serving Allamakee, Clayton, Fayette, Howard, and Winneshiek Counties
325 Washington Street, Decorah, IA 52101
PHONE: 563-382-6171, FAX: 563-217-7022
For deaf/hard of hearing, use Relay Iowa 711
www.uerpc.org

MPO/RPA Self-Certification of Procurement and Consultant Selection Procedures

This is to certify that I have reviewed the Iowa DOT Purchasing Rules (Iowa Administrative Code 761, Chapter 20) and will ensure procurements or the selection of consultant firms for projects to be reimbursed with federal transportation planning funds will follow the policies and procedures outlined in the above-referenced purchasing rules.

Further, I certify that the following requirements will be adhered to for procurements and consultant services to be reimbursed with federal transportation planning funds.

- Capital expenditures, including the purchase of equipment, will be a separate line item in an approved Transportation Planning Work Program (TPWP) if the anticipated total cost exceeds $5,000.
- An approved TPWP will specify that a project will involve consultant services prior to initiating the consultant selection process.
- Our agency will document the procedures utilized for the procurement or consultant selection, and will retain this documentation on file for a minimum of three years.
- When reimbursement is requested for capital expenditures or consultant services, we will provide our District Planner and the Systems Planning Bureau, through email or hard copy, invoices documenting the expenditure(s) and proof of payment at the time the associated reimbursement request is submitted.

I declare to the best of my knowledge and ability that we will adhere to the above requirements.

Rachelle Howe
(Signature)

Rachelle Howe
(Please Print Name)

Executive Director
(Title)

Upper Explorerland Regional Planning Commission
(Name of Organization)

March 1, 2025
(Date Signed)